

Dean L. Engelhardt et al.

Serial No. 08/486,066

Filed: June 7, 1995

Page 2 (Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. §1.116 (In Response To The September 28, 1999 Office Action) - March 28, 2000)

**KINDLY AMEND THIS APPLICATION AS FOLLOWS:**

**In the Specification:**

Page 53, last line, insert the following:

**-- BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

Figure 1 is a graph that shows the results of a precipitation reaction of glucosylated DNA as described in Example XXI. Absorbance was measured at 260 nanometers for the reaction mixtures and control solutions.

Figure 2A is a graph that shows the recovery (measured as a percent) of tritium-labeled lambda DNA using a Con A-sepharose column as described in Example XXII. Non-glucosylated DNA was not bound whereas glucosylated DNA was bound to the column.

Figure 2B is a graph that shows the recovery (measured as a percent) of tritium-labeled T4 DNA using a Con A-sepharose column as described in Example XXII. Non-glucosylated DNA was not bound whereas glucosylated DNA was column bound.

Figure 3A is a graph that illustrates the recovery (measured as a percent) of tritium-labeled T4 DNA using a Con A-sepharose column when mannose is included in the buffer, as described in Example XXII.

Figure 3B is a graph that illustrates the recovery (measured as a percent) of tritium labeled T4 DNA using a Con A-sepharose column when mannose is included in the buffer, as described in Example XXII.

Figure 4A is a graph that shows the retention of maltotriose labeled lambda DNA using a Con A-sepharose column as described in Example XXIII.

Figure 4B is also a graph that shows the retention of unsubstituted tritiated lambda DNA using a Con A-sepharose column as described in Example XXIII. --